

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,677	10/617,677 07/14/2003		Yin-Shu Yang	YANG3147/EM	4120	
23364	7590	12/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		MAS, PLLC	KLEBE, G	KLEBE, GERALD B		
	TERS LAI I FLOOR	NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	NDRIA, V	'A 22314		3618		
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, · •				0			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
		10/617,677	YANG, YIN-SHU	,			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gerald B. Klebe	3618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. In a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical MANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 July 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific transfer of	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	at(s)	_					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Taiwan on June 12, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Taiwan application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claims Objections-Informalities

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 10, the word "firs" should be -- first--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Objections - Duplicate Claims

3. Applicant is advised that should claim 5 be found allowable, claim 6 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1- 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Straka (US 4943085).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Straka (-085) discloses a vehicle running board comprising:

(re: claim 1) a first side pipe (Fig 1, item 18), being hollow inside and having a straight pipe section (14) and a curved pipe section (16), the curved pipe section having a fixed base (24); a second side pipe (17), being hollow inside and having a straight pipe section (13) and a curved pipe section (16), and the curved pipe section having a fixed base (24); and, a middle pipe (Fig 3, item 40), being a hollow straight pipe having an embedded section on both ends (as shown; and refer col 4, lines 20-24); so that by means of the foregoing structure, the middle pipe (40) embedded sections are embedded section between the straight pipe sections of the first and second side pipes; and,

(re: claim 2) wherein the fixed base (24) is disposed in the end opening of the curved pipe section of the first and second side pipes, and a screw nut and a screw hole formed by the screw hole are disposed on the fixed base (refer Figs 1- 3); and,

(re: claim 3) wherein the first side pipe has it straight section longer than that of the second pipe (clear from the depictions of Figs 1-3); and,

(re: claim 4) further comprising a step mat (variously 19, 19A-D).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straka (US 4943085).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,677

Art Unit: 3618

As discussed above, Straka (-085) discloses all of the features of claim 4 from which claims 5 and 6 depend. Straka's step mat is disposed directly on the middle pipe rather than being disposed between the first side pipe and the middle pipe.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tiem the instant invention was made to have disposed the step mat anywhere along the extent of either or both of the first side pipe and the middle pipe as a matter of design choice based upon considerations of where the expected step of passengers entering and exiting the vehicle would fall since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Prior Art made of Record

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Elwell et al. (D -914 and D -646), of Weir, of Straka (-638), of Selland et al., of Bernard, of Elrod, and of Sidles, Jr. et al. each show features in common with some of the other structures of the inventive concept disclosed in the instant application.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communication(s) from the examiner should be directed to Gerald B. Klebe at 703-305-0578, fax 703-872-9306; Mon.-Fri., 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM ET, or to Supervisory Patent Examiner Christopher P. Ellis, Art Unit 3618, at 703-308-2560.

Official correspondence should be sent to the following TC 3600 Official Rightfax numbers as follows: Regular correspondence: 703-872-9326; After Finals: 703-872-9327; Customer Service: 703-872-9325.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/617,677 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gbklebe / Art Unit 3618 / 3-Dec-04

CKTISTOPHEN P. ELLIS

SUTTING THE FIRST EXAMINER

THE UNITED STORES 3300